arXiv:cond-mat/0701236v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 11 Jan 2007

Bogolyubov approximation for diagonal model of an interacting Bose gas

M. Corgini [a], D.P. Sankovich [b,*]

[a] *Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de La Serena,*
Cisternas 1200, La Serena, Chile

[b] *V.A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Gubkin str. 8, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

Abstract

We study, using the Bogolyubov approximation, the thermodynamic behaviour of a superstable Bose system whose energy operator in the second-quantized form contains a nonlinear expression in the occupation numbers operators. We prove that for all values of the chemical potential satisfying $\mu > \lambda(0)$, where $\lambda(0) \leq 0$ is the lowest energy value, the system undergoes Bose–Einstein condensation.

Key words: Bose–Einstein condensation, Bogolyubov approximation, grand-canonical pressure
PACS: 05.30.Jp, 03.75.Hh, 64.60.Cn

---

1. Exactly solvable models of strongly interacting bosons could be helpful in understanding the nature of Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC) and superfluidity in interacting Bose gases. In this paper we study the thermodynamic behavior of some theoretically relevant system of Bose particles. The considered model has rather simplified character. Researches related to similar models are connected with the attempt to consider the effect of excitation-excitation coupling in Bogolyubov theory.

The aim of this paper is to consider a model of Bose gas with diagonal interaction which shows an independent on temperature BEC. This kind of BEC was theoretically discovered by Bru and Zagrebnov for some specific Bose system with diagonal interactions [1,2]. Here we study a nonlinear modification of the Bru–Zagrebnov model. Furthermore we want to clear up the influence of high-

---

* Corresponding author.
Email addresses: `mcorgini@yahoo.com` (M. Corgini), `sankovch@mi.ras.ru` (D.P. Sankovich).

order perturbations in the non-ideal Bose gas interaction on the magnitude of the Bose condensate fraction.

The plan of the paper is as follows. Firstly, we describe the basic mathematical notions associated to this kind of systems. Secondly, we discuss the thermodynamic behavior of a superstable Bose system whose Hamiltonian contains a nonlinear term in the number operators. The nonlinearity can be physically understood as the simultaneous creation of k-single one mode particles after the disappearance of an equivalent amount of single particles associated to the same mode. For $k = 2$ a variation of this kind of models has been extensively studied in refs. [1,2,3,4] by using different mathematical techniques. (In contrast to the Bru–Zagrebnov model [2], the Hamiltonian we consider contains a specific nonlinear mean-field term (see also [5])). In our case use will be made of the so-called Bogolyubov approximation [6]. In our proof a significative role plays the fact that the involved Hamiltonian is written in terms of the occupation numbers operators. It enables us to give a simplified proof of thermodynamic equivalence of the limit grand-canonical pressures corresponding to the energy operator of the system and its respective approximative Hamiltonian.

We have to point out that although this modified approach leads to a straightforward proof of BEC based on the commutativity of the involved operators, it does not apply for proving spontaneous breakdown of symmetry.

2. Let Δ be the operator Laplacian. The one-particle free Hamiltonian corresponds to the operator $D_{\Lambda_l} = -\Delta/2$ defined on a dense subset of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^l = L^2(\Lambda_l)$, being $\Lambda_l = [-l/2, l/2]^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a cubic box of boundary $\partial\Lambda_l$ and volume $V_l = l^d$. We assume periodic boundary conditions under which D_{Λ_l} becomes a self-adjoint operator. In this case, as in ref. [3,7], we shall consider a negative isolated lowest value of energy. This can be done by adding a term to the original Hamiltonian which shifts the energy level of the zero mode of the kinetic energy operator downward by a negative amount, creating in this way a spectral gap.

We shall study model of interacting Bose particles whose Hamiltonian is given as

$$H_l = H_l^0 + \frac{\gamma_0}{V_l^{k-1}}(a_0^\dagger)^k a_0^k + \frac{\gamma_1}{V_l^{k-1}} \sum_{p\in\Lambda_l^*\setminus\{0\}} (a_p^\dagger)^k a_p^k + V_l g\left(\frac{\hat{N}'}{V_l}\right), \tag{1}$$

where integer $k \geq 2$ and $g(x)$ is a suitable real valued function satisfying general conditions ensuring the superstability of the model. In particular, it suffices to assume that $g(x)$ is a continuously differentiable function on $[0,\infty)$ satisfying $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$ [8]. The sum in (1) runs over the set $\Lambda_l^* = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^d : p_\alpha = 2\pi n_\alpha/l, n_\alpha = 0, \pm1, \pm2, \ldots, \alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}$,

$a_p^\dagger, a_p$ are the Bose operators of creation and annihilation of particles defined on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{B}}$ satisfying the usual Bose commutation rules: $[a_p, a_q^\dagger] = a_p a_q^\dagger - a_q^\dagger a_p = \delta_{p,q}$, being $\delta_{p,q}$ the Kronecker's delta. Denote by $n_p = a_p^\dagger a_p$ the occupation-number operator in the mode $p \in \Lambda_l^*$. Let $\lambda_l(p) = p^2/2$ for $p \neq 0$ and $\lambda_l(0) \equiv \lambda(0) \leq 0$. In this case $H_l^0 = \sum_{p\in\Lambda_l^*} \lambda_l(p) n_p$, $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 > 0$. $N = \sum_{p\in\Lambda_l^*} n_p$ is the total number operator and $N' = \sum_{p\in\Lambda_l^*\setminus\{0\}} n_p$ is the number operator with exclusion of n_0. Note that the boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $\otimes_{p\in\Lambda_l^*}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}$ where $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}$ is the boson Fock space constructed on the one-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_p = \{\gamma e^{ipx}\}$.

Let

$$p_l(\beta, \mu) = \frac{1}{\beta V_l} \ln \mathrm{Tr}_{\otimes_{p\in\Lambda_l^*}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}} \exp[-\beta(H_l - \mu N)]$$

be the grand-canonical pressure corresponding to H_l, where μ represents the chemical potential and $\beta = \theta^{-1}$ is the inverse temperature.

If $H_l(\mu) \equiv H_l - \mu N$, the equilibrium Gibbs state $\langle - \rangle_{H_l(\mu)}$ is defined as

$$\langle A \rangle_{H_l(\mu)} \equiv \left[\mathrm{Tr}_{\otimes_{p\in\Lambda_l^*}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}} \exp\left(-\beta H_l(\mu)\right)\right]^{-1} \mathrm{Tr}_{\otimes_{p\in\Lambda_l^*}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}} A \exp\left(-\beta H_l(\mu)\right),$$

for any operator A acting on the symmetric bosonic Fock space. The density of particles at infinite volume is defined as

$$\rho(\mu) = \lim_{V_l\to\infty} \rho_l(\mu) \equiv \lim_{V_l\to\infty} \left\langle \frac{N}{V_l} \right\rangle_{H_l(\mu)}.$$

The density of particles of energy $\lambda(0)$, corresponding to the mode $p = 0$, is given as

$$\rho_0(\beta, \mu) = \lim_{V_l\to\infty} \rho_{0,l}(\beta, \mu) \equiv \lim_{V_l\to\infty} \left\langle \frac{n_0}{V_l} \right\rangle_{H_l(\mu)}.$$

In this paper we want to prove the occurrence of macroscopic occupation of the ground state, i.e. we attempt to find values of μ and β for which the inequality $\rho_0(\beta, \mu) > 0$ holds. Indeed, we prove for the studied model that macroscopic occupation independent on temperature takes place, i.e. the density of the condensate not depends on β.

3. In the framework of the Bogolyubov approximation we must replace the operators $a_0^\dagger$ and a_0 in any operator A expressed in the normal form in the Hamiltonian $H_l(\mu)$ with the complex numbers $\sqrt{V_l}\bar{c}$ and $\sqrt{V_l}c$. We get the following approximative Hamiltonian

$$H_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(c,\mu) = \sum_{p\in\Lambda_l^*\setminus\{0\}} \left[(\lambda_l(p)-\mu)n_p + \frac{\gamma_1}{V_l^{k-1}}(a_p^\dagger)^k a_p^k\right] + V_l g\left(\frac{N'}{V_l}\right)$$

$$+(\lambda(0)-\mu)V_l|c|^2 + \gamma_0 V_l |c|^{2k}, \;\; c\in\mathbb{C}. \qquad (2)$$

Noting that $(a_p^\dagger)^k(a_p)^k = n_p(n_p-1)\cdots(n_p-k+1)$ for all $p\in\Lambda_l^*$, it is not hard to see that both Hamiltonians (1) and (2) are diagonal with respect to the occupation-number operators.

In this case we have the following result [9].

Theorem 1 $\mathrm{Tr}_{\otimes_{p\in\Lambda_l^*\setminus\{0\}}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}}\, e^{-\beta H_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(c,\mu)} \le \mathrm{Tr}_{\otimes_{p\in\Lambda_l^*}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}}\, e^{-\beta H_l(\mu)}.$

4. Let $f_{V_l}(x)$ be the real valued function defined as

$$f_{V_l}(x) = (\mu-\lambda(0))x - \gamma_0 x\left(x-\frac{1}{V_l}\right)\cdots\left(x-\frac{k-1}{V_l}\right).$$

We define the functions

$$f^{\mathrm{L}}(x) = (\mu-\lambda(0))x - \gamma_0 x^k$$

and

$$f_{V_l}^{\mathrm{U}}(x) = (\mu-\lambda(0))x - \gamma_0\left(x-\frac{k-1}{V_l}\right)^k.$$

For $x \ge (k-1)/V_l$ the functions $f^{\mathrm{L}}(x)$ and $f_{V_l}^{\mathrm{U}}(x)$ are concave with unique maxima attained at x^{L} and $x_{V_l}^{\mathrm{U}}$, respectively. Evidently,

$$\lim_{V_l\to\infty} x_{V_l}^{\mathrm{U}} = x^{\mathrm{L}} = \left[\frac{(\mu-\lambda(0))_+}{\gamma_0 k}\right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}},$$

where $(a)_+ = \max(0,a)$.

Lemma 2 *For V_l sufficiently large such that $x^{\mathrm{L}} > (k-1)/V_l$, the following inequalities*

$$f^{\mathrm{L}}(x^{\mathrm{L}}) \le \sup_{[\frac{k-1}{V_l},\infty)} f_{V_l}(x) \le f_{V_l}^{\mathrm{U}}(x_{V_l}^{\mathrm{U}}),$$

$$\sup_{[0,\frac{k-1}{V_l})} f_{V_l}(x) \le (\mu-\lambda(0))_+\frac{k-1}{V_l} + \gamma_0\frac{(2(k-1))!}{(k-2)!V_l^k}$$

hold.

PROOF. For V_l sufficiently large and $x \in [(k-1)/V_l, \infty)$ we have the obvious inequalities $f^{\mathrm{L}}(x) \leq f_{V_l}(x) \leq f^{\mathrm{U}}_{V_l}(x)$, which for $x^{\mathrm{L}} > (k-1)/V_l$ imply the first statement of the proposition. On the other hand,

$$f_{V_l}(x) \leq (\mu - \lambda(0))_+ x + \gamma_0 x \left(x + \frac{1}{V_l}\right) \cdots \left(x + \frac{k-1}{V_l}\right)$$

for $x \in [0, (k-1)/V_l)$. Then,

$$\sup_{[0,\frac{k-1}{V_l})} f_{V_l}(x) \leq (\mu - \lambda(0))_+ \frac{k-1}{V_l} + \gamma_0 \frac{k-1}{V_l} \frac{k}{V_l} \cdots \frac{2(k-1)}{V_l}.$$

□

Lemma 3 *The following inequality takes place:*

$$\lim_{V_l \to \infty} \sup_{[0,\infty)} f_{V_l}(x) \leq f^{\mathrm{L}}(x^{\mathrm{L}}) = \left[\frac{(\mu - \lambda(0))_+}{k\gamma_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} (\mu - \lambda(0))_+ \frac{k-1}{k}.$$

PROOF.

$$|f^{\mathrm{U}}_{V_l}(x^{\mathrm{U}}_{V_l}) - f^{\mathrm{L}}(x^{\mathrm{L}})| = f^{\mathrm{U}}_{V_l}\left(x^{\mathrm{L}} + \frac{k-1}{V_l}\right) - f^{\mathrm{L}}(x^{\mathrm{L}}) = (\mu - \lambda(0))_+ \frac{k-1}{V_l},$$

i.e. $\lim_{V_l \to \infty} f^{\mathrm{U}}_{V_l}(x^{\mathrm{U}}_{V_l}) = f^{\mathrm{L}}(x^{\mathrm{L}})$. Then, using this result and the first inequality in lemma 2, we get

$$\lim_{V_l \to \infty} \sup_{[\frac{k-1}{V_l},\infty)} f_{V_l}(x) = f^{\mathrm{L}}(x^{\mathrm{L}}) = \left[\frac{(\mu - \lambda(0))_+}{k\gamma_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} (\mu - \lambda(0))_+ \frac{k-1}{k}.$$

The second inequality of lemma 2 yields

$$\lim_{V_l \to \infty} \sup_{[0,\frac{k-1}{V_l})} f_{V_l}(x) \leq 0.$$

From these results, noting that

$$\sup_{[0,\infty)} f_{V_l}(x) \leq \max\{ \sup_{[0,\frac{k-1}{V_l})} f_{V_l}(x), \sup_{[\frac{k-1}{V_l},\infty)} f_{V_l}(x)\},$$

and passing to the limit $V_l \to \infty$, the proof of the lemma follows.

$\square$

Let $\hat{\rho}_0 = n_0/V_l$. $\hat{\rho}_0$ is a densely defined on $\mathcal{F}_0^{\mathrm{B}}$ positive self-adjoint operator with spectrum $\sigma(\hat{\rho}_0) \subset [0,\infty)$ and spectral family $\{E_{\hat{\rho}_0}(x) : x \in \sigma(\hat{\rho}_0)\}$ [10]. Let $h(x) : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $\sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} h(x)$ exists. Assume also that $h(x)\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l})$ is a bounded and continuous function in $[0,\infty)$. Let A, B be self-adjoint bounded operators in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}$ endowed with inner product denoted as $(\cdot,\cdot)$. Then we say that A is smaller than B and write $A \le B$ if $(\phi, A\phi) \le (\phi, B\phi)$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$.

Lemma 4 $\quad \langle h(\hat{\rho}_0)\rangle_{H_l(\mu)} \le \sup\limits_{x\in[0,\infty)} h(x).$

PROOF. The spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators [10] enables us to write

$$h(\hat{\rho}_0)\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0)) = \int\limits_{\sigma(\hat{\rho}_0)} h(x)\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(x))\,\mathrm{d}E_{\hat{\rho}_0}(x).$$

Although $\hat{\rho}_0$ is an unbounded operator, the above representation is valid for any $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathrm{B}}$ since $h(x)\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(x))$ is a bounded continuous function. This implies that,

$$h(\hat{\rho}_0)\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0)) \le \sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} h(x) \int\limits_{\sigma(\hat{\rho}_0)} \exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(x))\,\mathrm{d}E_{\hat{\rho}_0}(x),$$

in the sense of operators for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathrm{B}}$. Noting that

$$\exp(-\beta\hat{H}_l(\mu)) = \exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0))\exp(-\beta\hat{H}_l^{'}(\mu))$$

and since $\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0))$, $\exp(-\beta\hat{H}_l^{'}(\mu))$ are positively definite operators commuting with each other, it is not hard to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&h(\hat{\rho}_0)\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0))\exp(-\beta\hat{H}_l^{'}(\mu))\\ &\le \sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} h(x)\exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0))\exp(-\beta\hat{H}_l^{'}(\mu)).\end{aligned}$$

Then, taking into account that $\hat{H}_l(\mu)$ defines a superstable system and since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is isomorphic $\otimes_{p\in\Lambda_l^*}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{B}}}\, h(\hat{\rho}_0) \exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0)) \exp(-\beta \hat{H}_l^{'}(\mu)) \\ &\leq \sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} h(x)\, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{B}}} \exp(\beta V_l f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0)) \exp(-\beta \hat{H}_l^{'}(\mu)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\langle h(\hat{\rho}_0)\rangle_{\hat{H}(\mu)} \leq \sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} h(x).$$

□

Theorem 5 *The systems with Hamiltonians* $H_l(\mu)$ *and* $H_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(c,\mu)$ *are thermodynamically equivalent in the Wentzel sense [11], i.e.*

$$\lim_{V_l\to\infty} \sup_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}} p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta, c, \mu) = p(\beta, \mu),$$

where

$$p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta, c, \mu) \equiv \frac{1}{\beta V_l} \ln \mathrm{Tr}_{\otimes_{p\in\Lambda^*\setminus\{0\}}\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{B}}} \exp[-\beta(H_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(c,\mu))]$$

and $p(\beta,\mu) \equiv \lim_{V_l\to\infty} p_l(\beta,\mu)$.

PROOF. Note that this is an equivalence of the Wentzel type but considering infimum for the real variable $|c|$ instead for $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying the Bogolyubov's convexity inequalities for pressures and theorem 1 we obtain that

$$0 \leq p_l(\beta,\mu) - p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta, c, \mu) \leq \frac{1}{V_l}\langle H_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(c,\mu) - H_l(\mu)\rangle_{H_l(\mu)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{V_l}\langle H_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(c,\mu) - H_l(\mu)\rangle_{H_l(\mu)} = (\lambda(0)-\mu)|c|^2 + \gamma_0 |c|^{2k} \\ &\qquad + \left\langle (\mu - \lambda(0))\hat{\rho}_0 - \gamma_0 \hat{\rho}_0 \cdots \left(\hat{\rho}_0 - \frac{k-1}{V_l}\right)\right\rangle_{H_l(\mu)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$0 \leq p_l(\beta,\mu) - p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta, c, \mu) \leq (\lambda(0)-\mu)|c|^2 + \gamma_0|c|^{2k} + \langle f_{V_l}(\hat{\rho}_0)\rangle_{H_l(\mu)}. \quad (3)$$

We have to distinguish two cases.

(i) Case $\mu > \lambda(0)$. In this situation, $\inf_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}}\left[(\lambda(0)-\mu)|c|^2+\gamma_0|c|^{2k}\right]$ is obtained for $|c_0|$ given by

$$|c_0|^2 = \left(\frac{\mu-\lambda(0)}{k\gamma_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}}\left[(\lambda(0)-\mu)\,|c|^2+\gamma_0\,|c|^{2k}\right] &= -\left(\frac{\mu-\lambda(0)}{k\gamma_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}(\mu-\lambda(0))\frac{k-1}{k}\\ &= -f^{\mathrm{L}}(x^{\mathrm{L}}).\end{aligned}$$

From the above result, using lemma 4 with $h(x)=f_{V_l}(x)$ and considering the infimum for $c\in\mathbb{C}$ in the right-hand side of inequality (3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}0\le p_l(\beta,\mu)-\sup_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}}p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta,c,\mu) &\le -\left(\frac{\mu-\lambda(0)}{k\gamma_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}(\mu-\lambda(0))\frac{k-1}{k}\\ &\quad+\sup_{[0,\infty)}f_{V_l}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, passing to the limit $V_l\to\infty$ and applying lemma 3, we get

$$p(\beta,\mu)-\lim_{V_l\to\infty}\sup_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}}p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta,c,\mu)=0.$$

(ii)Case $\mu\le\lambda(0)$. In this case $\inf_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}}\left[(\lambda(0)-\mu)\,|c|^2+\gamma_0\,|c|^{2k}\right]$ is attained at $|c_0|=0$. Moreover, since $(a_0^\dagger)^k a_0^k$ is a positive operator, for $\mu\le\lambda(0)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle(\mu-\lambda(0))\hat\rho_0-\gamma_0\hat\rho_0\cdots\left(\hat\rho_0-\frac{k-1}{V_l}\right)\right\rangle_{H_l(\mu)}\\ &\qquad=\left\langle(\mu-\lambda(0))\hat\rho_0-\gamma_0\frac{(a_0^\dagger)^k a_0^k}{V_l^k}\right\rangle_{H_l(\mu)}\le 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then, from inequality (3) we get,

$$p_l(\beta,\mu)=\sup_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}}p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta,c,\mu)$$

for any finite V_l. Therefore, in both cases, passing to the limit $V_l\to\infty$, we get

$$p(\beta,\mu)=\lim_{V_l\to\infty}\sup_{|c|:c\in\mathbb{C}}p_l^{\mathrm{appr}}(\beta,c,\mu).$$

The proof is complete.

□

5. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 6 *For all $\mu > \lambda(0)$ the system (1) displays independent on temperature BEC and the amount of condensate is given by*

$$\rho_0(\mu) = \left(\frac{\mu - \lambda(0)}{\gamma_0 k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} .$$

PROOF. Using the convexity of $p_l(\beta,\mu)$ and $p_l^{\text{appr}}(\beta, c_0, \mu)$ with respect to $\lambda(0)$, we get from a Griffiths theorem [12]

$$\rho_{0,l}(\mu) = -\partial_{\lambda(0)} p_l(\beta,\mu) = -\partial_{\lambda(0)} p_l^{\text{appr}}(\beta, c_0, \mu) = |c_0|^2 .$$

Finally, passing to the thermodynamic limit, we obtain for all $\mu > \lambda(0)$,

$$\lim_{V_l \to \infty} \rho_{0,l}(\mu) = \rho_0(\mu) = |c_0|^2 = \left(\frac{\mu - \lambda(0)}{\gamma_0 k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} .$$

□

6. For the class of Hamiltonians given in equation (1), in the framework of the so-called Bogolyubov approximation [6,9], it has been given a simple proof of thermodynamic equivalence of the limit grand-canonical pressures corresponding to those systems and their respective approximating ones for any integer $k \geq 2$. Moreover, in contrast to the Bru–Zagrebnov models [1,2], we prove that independent on temperature BEC in the sense of macroscopic occupation of the ground state holds for any integer $k \geq 2$ and any $\mu > \lambda(0)$. A similar type of BEC is explained entirely by superstability of the model and by absence of an interaction between the ground state occupation number operators and the nonzero modes ones.

Acknowledgements

Partial financial support by Programa MECESUP PUC103 (Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile), Project ECOS/Conicyt: Estudio Cualitativo de Sistemas Dinámicos Cuánticos (Chile), Programa de Magíster en Matemáticas, Universidad de La Serena, Chile and Program "Fundamental problems of nonlinear dynamics" of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

References

[1] J.B. Bru, V.A. Zagrebnov, Exactly soluble model with two kinds of Bose–Einstein condensations, Physica A 268 (1999), 309–325.

[2] J.B. Bru, V.A. Zagrebnov, A model with coexistence of two kinds of Bose condensation, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 33 (2000), 449-464.

[3] J.B. Bru, B. Nachtergaele, V. A. Zagrebnov, The equilibrium states for a model with two kinds of Bose condensation. J. Stat. Phys. 109 (2002), 143-276.

[4] A. Bernal, M. Corgini, D.P. Sankovich, Nonideal Bose gases: correlation inequalities and Bose condensation, Theor. Math. Phys. 139 (2004), 866–877.

[5] L. Vandevenne, A. Verbeure, Imperfect Bose gas with attractive boundary conditions, Rep. Math. Phys. 56 (2005), 109–116.

[6] N.N. Bogolyubov, On the theory of superfluidity, J. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 11 (1947), 23–32.

[7] L. Lauwers, A. Verbeure, V.A. Zagrebnov, Proof of Bose-Einstein condensation for interacting gases with a one-particle spectral gap, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 (2002), 169-174.

[8] E.B. Davies, The thermodynamic limit for an imperfect boson gas, Commun. Math. Phys. 28 (1972), 69–86.

[9] J. Ginibre, On the asymptotic exactness of the Bogolyubov approximation for many bosons systems, Commun. Math. Phys. 8 (1968), 26–51.

[10] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol.1. (Academic Press, New York, 1979).

[11] G. Wentzel, Thermodynamically equivalent Hamiltonian for some many-body problems, Phys. Rev. 120 (1960), 1572–1575.

[12] R. Griffiths, A proof that the free energy of a spin system is extensive, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964), 1215–1222.